UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012
OR
[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____

Commission file number 1-11353

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

13-3757370

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)								
358 South Main Street,									
Burlington, North Carolina	27215								
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)								
(Registrant's telephone number, include	ing area code) <u>336-229-1127</u>								
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to	, , ,								

during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (paragraph 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer [X]	Accelerated Filer []
Non-accelerated filer [] (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company []

Delaware

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [] No [X].

The number of shares outstanding of the issuer's common stock is 94.6 million shares, net of treasury stock as of October 24, 2012.

INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Findificial Statements.	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>2</u>
September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	<u>3</u>
Three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings	<u>4</u>
Three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	<u>5</u>
Nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>6</u>
Nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	_
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>7</u>
and the same and t	_
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>20</u>
Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	<u>28</u>
Controls and Procedures	<u>29</u>
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Legal Proceedings	<u>30</u>
<u></u>	<u>50</u>
Risk Factors	<u>30</u>
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>31</u>
	September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings Three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity Nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Controls and Procedures PART II. OTHER INFORMATION Legal Proceedings Risk Factors Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in millions) (unaudited)

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	466.0	\$ 159.3
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$207.6 and \$197.6 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	768.9	699.8
Supplies inventories	118.9	110.8
Prepaid expenses and other	78.2	79.6
Deferred income taxes	23.8	35.3
Total current assets	1,455.8	1,084.8
Property, plant and equipment, net	612.2	578.3
Goodwill, net	2,877.4	2,681.8
Intangible assets, net	1,672.5	1,620.7
Joint venture partnerships and equity method investments	80.7	76.8
Other assets, net	115.7	94.2
Total assets	6,814.3	\$ 6,136.6
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	247.4	\$ 257.8
Accrued expenses and other	386.9	404.1
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	485.1	135.5
Total current liabilities	1,119.4	797.4
Long-term debt, less current portion	2,175.0	2,085.5
Deferred income taxes and other tax liabilities	558.9	502.7
Other liabilities	223.4	227.3
Total liabilities	4,076.7	3,612.9
Commitments and contingent liabilities		
Noncontrolling interest	20.9	20.2
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, 94.9 and 97.8 shares outstanding at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	11.4	11.7
Additional paid-in capital	_	_
Retained earnings	3,587.5	3,387.2
Less common stock held in treasury	(951.8)	(940.9)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	69.6	45.5
Total shareholders' equity	2,716.7	2,503.5
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	6,814.3	\$ 6,136.6

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in millions, except per share data) (unaudited)

	Three Mo Septen	 	Nine Months Ended September 30,						
	2012	2011		2012		2011			
Net sales	\$ 1,419.4	\$ 1,404.5	\$	4,266.1	\$	4,176.2			
Cost of sales	863.3	836.0		2,554.4		2,451.1			
Gross profit	556.1	568.5		1,711.7		1,725.1			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	285.1	283.8		835.8		889.3			
Amortization of intangibles and other assets	21.1	21.2		63.1		64.6			
Restructuring and other special charges	4.8	24.1		4.6		70.3			
Operating income	245.1	239.4		808.2		700.9			
Other income (expenses):									
Interest expense	(24.1)	(20.3)		(66.9)		(65.3)			
Equity method income, net	5.1	2.5		17.4		6.6			
Investment income	0.3	0.2		0.7		0.7			
Other, net	 0.5	(1.7)		(6.7)		(1.8)			
Earnings before income taxes	226.9	220.1		752.7		641.1			
Provision for income taxes	78.5	82.5		288.5		246.2			
Net earnings	148.4	137.6		464.2		394.9			
Less: Net earnings attributable to the noncontrolling interest	(0.4)	(3.3)		(1.3)		(10.6)			
Net earnings attributable to Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings	\$ 148.0	\$ 134.3	\$	462.9	\$	384.3			
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 1.56	\$ 1.34	\$	4.81	\$	3.82			
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 1.53	\$ 1.31	\$	4.72	\$	3.76			

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE EARNINGS (in millions, except per share data) (unaudited)

		Three Mo Septen			Nine Mon Septen	
	2012			2011	2012	2011
Net earnings	\$	148.4	\$	137.6	\$ 464.2	\$ 394.9
Foreign currency translation adjustments		32.7		(63.3)	39.1	(32.6)
Interest rate swap adjustments		_				2.4
Other comprehensive earnings (loss) before tax		32.7		(63.3)	39.1	(30.2)
Provision for income tax related to items of comprehensive earnings		(12.5)		24.1	(15.0)	11.8
Other comprehensive earnings (loss), net of tax		20.2		(39.2)	24.1	(18.4)
Comprehensive earnings		168.6		98.4	488.3	376.5
Less: Net earnings attributable to the noncontrolling interest		(0.4)		(3.3)	(1.3)	(10.6)
Comprehensive earnings attributable to Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings	\$	168.2	\$	95.1	\$ 487.0	\$ 365.9

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(in millions) (unaudited)

		Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	S	Total hareholders' Equity
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2010	\$	12.2	\$ 53.9	\$ 3,246.6	\$ (934.9)	\$	88.5	\$	2,466.3
Net earnings attributable to Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings		_	_	384.3	_		_		384.3
Other comprehensive earnings, net of tax		_	_	_	_		(18.4)		(18.4)
Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans		0.1	111.2	_	_		_		111.3
Surrender of restricted stock and performance share awards		_	_	_	(6.0)		_		(6.0)
Conversion of zero-coupon convertible debt		0.1	36.1	_	_		_		36.2
Stock compensation		_	37.2	_	_		_		37.2
Income tax benefit from stock options exercised	l	_	10.2	_	_		_		10.2
Purchase of common stock		(0.5)	(248.6)	(228.7)	_		_		(477.8)
BALANCE AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2011	\$	11.9	\$ _	\$ 3,402.2	\$ (940.9)	\$	70.1	\$	2,543.3
						-			
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2011	\$	11.7	\$ _	\$ 3,387.2	\$ (940.9)	\$	45.5	\$	2,503.5
Net earnings attributable to Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings		_	_	462.9	_		_		462.9
Other comprehensive earnings, net of tax		_	_	_	_		24.1		24.1
Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans		0.1	75.0	_	_		_		75.1
Surrender of restricted stock and performance share awards		_	_	_	(10.9)		_		(10.9)
Conversion of zero-coupon convertible debt		_	_	_	_		_		_
Stock compensation		_	34.8	_	_		_		34.8
Income tax benefit from stock options exercised	l	_	7.7	_	_		_		7.7
Purchase of common stock		(0.4)	(117.5)	(262.6)	_		_		(380.5)
BALANCE AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2012	\$	11.4	\$	\$ 3,587.5	\$ (951.8)	\$	69.6	\$	2,716.7

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in millions)

(unaudited)

(unauditeu)		
	Nine Mont Septemb	
	2012	2011
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net earnings	\$ 464.2	\$ 394.9
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	170.4	173.6
Stock compensation	34.8	37.2
Loss on sale of assets	5.3	1.2
Accrued interest on zero-coupon subordinated notes	2.0	3.2
Cumulative earnings in excess of distributions from equity method investments	(2.7)	(0.3)
Deferred income taxes	44.9	(11.0)
Change in assets and liabilities (net of effects of acquisitions):		
Increase in accounts receivable (net)	(49.2)	(65.9)
Increase in inventories	(4.3)	(0.9)
Decrease in prepaid expenses and other	3.5	10.5
Decrease in accounts payable	(19.4)	(40.8)
Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses and other	(62.3)	75.3
Net cash provided by operating activities	587.2	577.0
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Capital expenditures	(112.4)	(115.6)
Proceeds from sale of assets	2.9	3.6
Deferred payments on acquisitions	(2.7)	(0.7)
Acquisition of licensing technology	(2.1)	_
Investments in equity affiliates	(14.9)	_
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired	(283.1)	(46.3)
Net cash used for investing activities	(412.3)	(159.0)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from senior note offerings	1,000.0	_
Proceeds from revolving credit facilities	305.0	85.0
Payments on revolving credit facilities	(865.0)	(85.0)
Principal payments on term loan	_	(56.2)
Payments on zero-coupon subordinated notes	(2.5)	(150.6)
Payments on long-term debt	_	(8.0)
Payment of debt issuance costs	(8.9)	(0.5)
Noncontrolling interest distributions	(0.9)	(5.8)
Excess tax benefits from stock based compensation	7.6	9.8
Net proceeds from issuance of stock to employees	75.1	111.3
Purchase of common stock	(380.5)	(468.8)
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	129.9	(561.6)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	1.9	(1.3)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	306.7	(144.9)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	159.3	230.7

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period

\$

466.0

\$

85.8

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)

1. BASIS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings (the "Company") and its majority-owned subsidiaries for which it exercises control. Long-term investments in affiliated companies in which the Company exercises significant influence, but which it does not control, are accounted for using the equity method. Investments in which the Company does not exercise significant influence (generally, when the Company has an investment of less than 20.0% and no representation on the investee's board of directors) are accounted for using the cost method. All significant inter-company transactions and accounts have been eliminated. The Company does not have any variable interest entities or special purpose entities whose financial results are not included in the condensed consolidated financial statements.

The financial statements of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are measured using the local currency as the functional currency. Assets and liabilities are translated at exchange rates as of the balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses are translated at average monthly exchange rates prevailing during the period. Resulting translation adjustments are included in "Accumulated other comprehensive income."

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company are unaudited. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of results of operations, cash flows and financial position have been made. Except as otherwise disclosed, all such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements but does not include all disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles.

The financial statements and notes are presented in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and do not contain certain information included in the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Therefore, the interim statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company's Annual Report.

2. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings attributable to Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings including the impact of dilutive adjustments by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus potentially dilutive shares, as if they had been issued at the earlier of the date of issuance or the beginning of the period presented. Potentially dilutive common shares result primarily from the Company's outstanding stock options, restricted stock awards, performance share awards, and shares issuable upon conversion of zero-coupon subordinated notes.

The following represents a reconciliation of basic earnings per share to diluted earnings per share:

			Th	ree	Months E	ıded	l Septemb	er 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,										
			2012					2011	1				2012					2011			
		Income	Shares		Per Share Amount		Income	Share	es	Per Share mount		Income	Shares		Per Share Amount		Income	Shares		Sh	Per nare nount
Basic earnings per share:																					
Net earnings	\$	148.0	95.1	\$	1.56	\$	134.3	100	0.6	\$ 1.34	\$	462.9	96.3	\$	4.81	\$	384.3	100.5	9	6	3.82
Dilutive effect of employee stock options and awards		_	1.0				_	1	1.1			_	1.1				_	1.3	_		
Effect of convertible debt, ne of tax	et	_	0.7				_	0).5				0.7			_		0.5			
Diluted earnings per share:																					
Net earnings including impact of dilutive adjustments	ct \$	148.0	96.8	\$	1.53	\$	134.3	102	2.2	\$ 1.31	\$	462.9	98.1	\$	4.72	\$	384.3	102.3	9	3	3.76

The following table summarizes the potential common shares not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because their impact would have been antidilutive:

	Three Mont		Nine Months Septembe	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Stock options	3.1	1.5	2.3	1.3

3. NONCONTROLLING INTEREST PUTS

On October 14, 2011, the Company issued notice to a noncontrolling interest holder in the Ontario partnership of its intent to purchase the holder's partnership units in accordance with the terms of the partnership agreement. On November 28, 2011, this purchase was completed for a total purchase price of \$147.9 (CN151.7) as outlined in the partnership agreement (CN\$147.8 plus certain adjustments relating to cash distribution hold backs made to finance business acquisitions and capital expenditures). The purchase of these additional partnership units brings the Company's percentage interest owned to 98.2%.

The contractual value of the remaining put, in excess of the current noncontrolling interest of \$4.1, totals \$16.8 at September 30, 2012 and has been classified as mezzanine equity in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Net sales of the Ontario partnership were \$81.7 (CN\$81.2) and \$75.8 (CN\$74.2) for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 respectively, and \$248.2 (CN\$248.7) and \$230.7 (CN\$225.4) for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 respectively.

4. RESTRUCTURING AND OTHER SPECIAL CHARGES

During the first nine months of 2012, the Company recorded net restructuring charges of \$4.6. The charges were comprised of \$11.4 in severance and other personnel costs and \$2.5 in facility-related costs primarily associated with the ongoing integration activities of Orchid and the Integrated Genetics Division (formerly Genzyme Genetics*) and costs associated with the previously announced termination of an executive vice president. These charges were offset by the reversal of previously established reserves of \$5.7 in unused severance and \$3.6 in unused facility-related costs.

As part of the Clearstone integration, the Company also recorded a \$6.9 loss on the disposal of one of its European subsidiaries in Other, net under Other income (expenses) during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

During the first nine months of 2011, the Company recorded net restructuring and other special charges of \$39.1. The charges were comprised of \$21.1 in severance and other personnel costs, and \$21.3 in facility-related costs associated with the integration of certain acquisitions including Genzyme Genetics* and Westcliff. These charges were offset by restructuring credits of \$3.3 resulting from the reversal of unused severance and facility closure liabilities. In addition, the Company recorded fixed assets impairment charges of \$16.4 primarily related to equipment and leasehold improvements in closed facilities. The Company also recorded a special charge of \$14.8 related to a write-off of certain assets and liabilities related to an investment made in a prior year.

* Genzyme Genetics and its logo are trademarks of Genzyme Corporation and used by Esoterix Genetic Laboratories, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of LabCorp, under license. Esoterix Genetic Laboratories and LabCorp are operated independently from Genzyme Corporation.

5. RESTRUCTURING RESERVES

The following represents the Company's restructuring activities for the period indicated:

	Severance and Other Employee Costs	Lease and Other Facility Costs	Total
Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$ 8.4	\$ 22.6	\$ 31.0
Restructuring charges	11.4	2.5	13.9
Reduction of prior restructuring accruals	(5.7)	(3.6)	(9.3)
Cash payments and other adjustments	(11.9)	 (3.8)	 (15.7)
Balance as of September 30, 2012	\$ 2.2	\$ 17.7	\$ 19.9
Current			\$ 8.0
Non-current			11.9
			\$ 19.9

6. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 and for the year ended December 31, 2011 are as follows:

	 September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011			
Balance as of January 1	\$ 2,681.8	\$	2,601.3		
Goodwill acquired during the period	196.8		86.2		
Adjustments to goodwill	(1.2)		(5.7)		
Balance at end of period	\$ 2,877.4	\$	2,681.8		

The components of identifiable intangible assets are as follows:

	September 30, 2012				December 31, 2011			
		Gross Carrying Accumulated Amount Amortization		Gross Carrying Amount		ulated Carrying Ac		Accumulated Amortization
Customer relationships	\$	1,269.7	\$	(468.3)	\$	1,187.5	\$	(426.8)
Patents, licenses and technology		146.7		(97.2)		144.9		(88.3)
Non-compete agreements		29.5		(18.4)		28.1		(14.8)
Trade names		131.3		(70.4)		129.2		(61.3)
Canadian licenses		749.6		_		722.2		_
	\$	2,326.8	\$	(654.3)	\$	2,211.9	\$	(591.2)

Amortization of intangible assets for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$21.1 and \$63.1, respectively, and \$21.2 and \$64.6 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Amortization expense for the net carrying amount of intangible assets is estimated to be \$23.1 for the remainder of fiscal 2012, \$84.6 in fiscal 2013, \$80.6 in fiscal 2014, \$77.0 in fiscal 2015, \$71.6 in fiscal 2016 and \$586.0 thereafter.

The Ontario operation had \$749.6 and \$722.2 of value assigned to the partnership's indefinite lived Canadian licenses to conduct diagnostic testing services in the province as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

7. DEBT

Short-term borrowings and the current portion of long-term debt at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consisted of the following:

		September 30, 2012	De	ecember 31, 2011
Zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes	-	\$ 135.0	\$	135.5
Senior notes due 2013	:	\$ 350.1	\$	_
Total short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt		\$ 485.1	\$	135.5

Long-term debt at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consisted of the following:

	Sep	otember 30,		
		2012	Dece	ember 31, 2011
Revolving credit facility	\$	_	\$	560.0
5 1/2% senior notes due 2013		_		350.5
5 5/8% senior notes due 2015		250.0		250.0
3 1/8% senior notes due 2016		325.0		325.0
2 1/5% senior notes due 2017		500.0		_
4 5/8% senior notes due 2020		600.0		600.0
3 3/4% senior notes due 2022		500.0		_
Total long-term debt	\$	2,175.0	\$	2,085.5

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)

Senior Notes

On August 23, 2012, the Company issued \$1,000.0 in new senior notes pursuant to the Company's effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3. The new senior notes consisted of \$500.0 aggregate principal amount of 2.20% Senior Notes due 2017 and \$500.0 aggregate principal amount of 3.75% Senior Notes due 2022. The net proceeds were used to repay \$625.0 of the outstanding borrowings under the Company's Revolving Credit Facility. The remaining proceeds are available for other general corporate purposes.

The Senior Notes due 2017 and Senior Notes due 2022 bear interest at the rate of 2.20% per annum and 3.75% per annum, respectively, payable semi-annually on February 23 and August 23 of each year, commencing February 23, 2013.

Zero-coupon Subordinated Notes

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company settled notices to convert \$3.1 aggregate principal amount at maturity of its zero-coupon subordinated notes with a conversion value of \$3.7. The total cash used for these settlements was \$2.5 and the Company also issued twelve thousand nine hundred fifty-seven additional shares of common stock.

On September 12, 2012, the Company announced that for the period of September 12, 2012 to March 11, 2013, the zero-coupon subordinated notes will accrue contingent cash interest at a rate of no less than 0.125% of the average market price of a zero-coupon subordinated note for the five trading days ended September 7, 2012, in addition to the continued accrual of the original issue discount.

On October 1, 2012, the Company announced that its zero-coupon subordinated notes may be converted into cash and common stock at the conversion rate of 13.4108 per \$1,000 principal amount at maturity of the notes, subject to the terms of the zero-coupon subordinated notes and the Indenture, dated as of October 24, 2006 between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee and conversion agent. In order to exercise the option to convert all or a portion of the zero-coupon subordinated notes, holders are required to validly surrender their zero-coupon subordinated notes at any time during the calendar quarter beginning October 1, 2012, through the close of business on the last business day of the calendar quarter, which is 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on Monday, December 31, 2012. If notices of conversion are received, the Company plans to settle the cash portion of the conversion obligation with cash on hand and/or borrowings under the revolving credit facility.

Credit Facilities

On December 21, 2011, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") providing for a five-year \$1,000.0 senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility") with Bank of America, N.A., acting as Administrative Agent, Barclays Capital as Syndication Agent, and a group of financial institutions as lending parties. The balances outstanding on the Company's Revolving Credit Facility at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$0.0 and \$560.0, respectively. The Revolving Credit Facility bears interest at varying rates based upon a base rate or LIBOR plus (in each case) a percentage based on the Company's debt rating with Standard & Poor's and Moody's Ratings Services.

The Revolving Credit Facility is available for general corporate purposes, including working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, funding of share repurchases and other restricted payments permitted under the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement also contains limitations on aggregate subsidiary indebtedness and a debt covenant that requires that the Company maintain on the last day of any period of four consecutive fiscal quarters, in each case taken as one accounting period, a ratio of total debt to consolidated EBITDA (Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization) of not more than 3.0 to 1.0. The Company was in compliance with all covenants in the Credit Agreement at September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2012, the ratio of total debt to consolidated EBITDA was 2.0 to 1.0.

As of September 30, 2012, the effective interest rate on the Revolving Credit Facility was 1.19%.

8. PREFERRED STOCK AND COMMON SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

The Company is authorized to issue up to 265.0 shares of common stock, par value \$0.10 per share. The Company's treasury shares are recorded at aggregate cost. The Company is authorized to issue up to 30.0 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.10 per share. There were no preferred shares outstanding as of September 30, 2012.

The changes in common shares issued and held in treasury are summarized below:

	Issued	Held in Treasury	Outstanding
Common shares at December 31, 2011	120.0	(22.2)	97.8
Common stock issued under employee stock plans	1.5	_	1.5
Common stock issued upon conversion of zero-coupon subordinated notes	_	_	_
Surrender of restricted stock and performance share awards	_	(0.1)	(0.1)
Retirement of common stock	(4.3)	<u> </u>	(4.3)
Common shares at September 30, 2012	117.2	(22.3)	94.9

Share Repurchase Program

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding authorization from the Board of Directors to purchase approximately \$84.4 of Company common stock. On February 10, 2012, the Company announced the Board of Directors authorized the purchase of \$500.0 of additional shares of the Company's common stock. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company purchased 4.3 shares of its common stock at a total cost of \$380.5. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had outstanding authorization from the Board of Directors to purchase \$204.0 of Company common stock.

9. INCOME TAXES

The Company does not recognize a tax benefit, unless the Company concludes that it is more likely than not that the benefit will be sustained on audit by the taxing authority based solely on the technical merits of the associated tax position. If the recognition threshold is met, the Company recognizes a tax benefit measured at the largest amount of the tax benefit that the Company believes is greater than 50.0% likely to be realized.

The gross unrecognized income tax benefits were \$40.4 and \$52.7 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The decrease is primarily related to the expiration of statutes of limitations and the completion of a study related to domestic intercompany transfer pricing. It is anticipated that the amount of the unrecognized income tax benefits will change within the next twelve months; however, these changes are not expected to have a significant impact on the results of operations, cash flows or the financial position of the Company.

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$41.1 and \$53.3, respectively, are the approximate amounts of unrecognized income tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective income tax rate in future periods.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized income tax benefits in income tax expense. Accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions totaled \$10.7 and \$10.8 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The valuation allowance provided as a reserve against certain deferred tax assets is \$18.5 and \$14.4 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The increase in the valuation allowance during 2012 is primarily due to current year-to-date foreign losses whereby a full valuation allowance has been provided.

The Company has substantially concluded all U.S. federal income tax matters for years through 2007. Substantially all material state and local, and foreign income tax matters have been concluded through 2006 and 2001, respectively.

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)

The Company has various state income tax examinations ongoing throughout the year. In October 2011, Canada Revenue Agency initiated an examination of the Company's Canadian income tax returns for 2010 and 2009. Management believes adequate provisions have been recorded related to all open tax years.

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is involved from time to time in various claims and legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions, and other litigation (including those described in more detail below), arising in the ordinary course of business. Some of these actions involve claims that are substantial in amount. These matters include, but are not limited to, intellectual property disputes, professional liability, employee related matters, and inquiries, including subpoenas and other civil investigative demands, from governmental agencies and Medicare or Medicaid payers and managed care payers reviewing billing practices or requesting comment on allegations of billing irregularities that are brought to their attention through billing audits or third parties. The Company receives civil investigative demands or other inquiries from various governmental bodies in the ordinary course of its business. Such inquiries can relate to the Company or other healthcare providers. The Company works cooperatively to respond to appropriate requests for information.

The Company is also named from time to time in suits brought under the qui tam provisions of the False Claims Act and comparable state laws. These suits typically allege that the Company has made false statements and/or certifications in connection with claims for payment from federal or state health care programs. They may remain under seal (hence, unknown to the Company) for some time while the government decides whether to intervene on behalf of the qui tam plaintiff. Such claims are an inevitable part of doing business in the health care field today.

The Company believes that it is in compliance in all material respects with all statutes, regulations and other requirements applicable to its clinical laboratory operations. The clinical laboratory testing industry is, however, subject to extensive regulation, and the courts have not interpreted many of these statutes and regulations. There can be no assurance therefore that those applicable statutes and regulations will not be interpreted or applied by a prosecutorial, regulatory or judicial authority in a manner that would adversely affect the Company. Potential sanctions for violation of these statutes and regulations include significant fines and the loss of various licenses, certificates and authorizations.

Many of the claims and legal actions against the Company are at preliminary stages, and many of these cases seek an indeterminate amount of damages. The Company records an aggregate legal reserve, which is determined using actuarial calculations around historical loss rates and assessment of trends experienced in settlements and defense costs. In accordance with ASC 450 "Contingencies", the Company establishes reserves for judicial, regulatory, and arbitration matters outside the aggregate legal reserve if and when those matters present loss contingencies that are both probable and estimable and would exceed the aggregate legal reserve. When loss contingencies are not both probable and estimable, the Company does not establish separate reserves.

The Company is unable to estimate a range of reasonably probable loss for cases described in more detail below in which damages either have not been specified or, in the Company's judgment, are unsupported and/or exaggerated and (i) the proceedings are in early stages; (ii) there is uncertainty as to the outcome of pending appeals or motions; (iii) there are significant factual issues to be resolved; and/or (iv) there are novel legal issues to be presented. For these cases, however, the Company does not believe, based on currently available information, that the outcomes of these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, though the outcomes could be material to the Company's operating results for any particular period, depending, in part, upon the operating results for such period.

A subsidiary of the Company, DIANON Systems, Inc. ("DIANON"), was the appellant in a wrongful termination lawsuit originally filed by G. Berry Schumann in Superior Court in the State of Connecticut. After a jury trial, the state court entered judgment against DIANON, with total damages, attorney's fees, and pre-judgment interest payable by DIANON, of approximately \$10.0 plus post-judgment interest. DIANON disputed liability and contested the case vigorously on appeal. In April 2012, the Connecticut Supreme Court unanimously reversed the jury verdict and remanded the case to the trial court with direction to render judgment for DIANON on the appealed statutory claim and for a new trial limited to the plaintiff's common-law wrongful termination claim, which was not reached by the jury. The parties resolved the remaining claim and the case was dismissed.

As previously reported, the Company reached a settlement in the previously disclosed lawsuit, California ex rel. Hunter Laboratories, LLC et al. v. Quest Diagnostics Incorporated, et al. ("Hunter Labs Settlement Agreement"), to avoid the uncertainty and costs associated with prolonged litigation. Pursuant to the executed settlement agreement, the Company recorded a litigation settlement expense of \$34.5 in the second quarter of 2011 (net of a previously recorded reserve of \$15.0). The Company also

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)

agreed to certain reporting obligations regarding its pricing for a limited time period and, at the option of the Company in lieu of such reporting obligations, to provide Medi-Cal with a discount from Medi-Cal's otherwise applicable maximum reimbursement rate from November 1, 2011 through October 31, 2012. In June of 2012, the California legislature enacted Assembly Bill No. 1494, Section 9 of which directs the Department of Health Care Services ("DHCS") to establish new reimbursement rates for Medi-Cal clinical laboratory services that will be based on payments made to California clinical laboratories for similar services by other third-party payors. The details of the new reimbursement rate setting methodology will be determined by DHCS over the next year through a process that will include stakeholder input. The bill provides that until the new rates are set through this process, Medi-Cal payments for clinical laboratory services will be reduced (in addition to a 10% payment reduction imposed by statute in 2011) by "up to 10 percent" for tests with dates of service on or after July 1, 2012, with a cap on payments set at 80% of the lowest maximum allowance established under the federal Medicare program. Under the terms of the Hunter Labs Settlement Agreement, the enactment of this new California legislation terminates the Company's reporting obligations (or obligation to provide a discount in lieu of reporting) under that agreement. Taken together, these changes are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated revenues or results of operations.

As previously reported, the Company responded to an October 2007 subpoena from the United States Office Department of Health & Human Services of Inspector General's regional office in New York. On August 17, 2011, the Southern District of New York unsealed a False Claims Act lawsuit, *United States of America ex rel. NPT Associates v. Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings*, which alleges that the Company offered UnitedHealthcare kickbacks in the form of discounts in return for Medicare business. The lawsuit seeks actual and treble damages and civil penalties for each alleged false claim, as well as recovery of costs, attorney's fees, and legal expenses. The United States government has not intervened in the lawsuit. The Company will vigorously defend the lawsuit.

In addition, the Company has received three other subpoenas since 2007 related to Medicaid billing. In June 2010, the Company received a subpoena from the State of Florida Office of the Attorney General requesting documents related to its billing to Florida Medicaid. In February 2009, the Company received a subpoena from the Commonwealth of Virginia Office of the Attorney General seeking documents related to the Company's billing to state Medicaid. In October 2009, the Company received a subpoena from the State of Michigan Department of Attorney General seeking documents related to its billing to Michigan Medicaid. The Company is cooperating with these requests.

On December 8, 2011, the Company announced that it had reached an agreement with the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") that allowed the Company to complete its acquisition of Orchid Cellmark Inc. ("Orchid"), which closed on December 15, 2011. Under the terms of the consent decree with the FTC, the Company was required to divest certain assets of Orchid's U.S. government paternity business. On December 16, 2011, the Company sold those assets to DNA Diagnostics Center (DDC), a privately held provider of DNA paternity testing. There is one pending petition for appraisal of shares of Orchid.

Three shareholder class actions, *Silverberg v. Bologna*, *et al.*, *Nannetti v. Bologna*, and *Locke v. Orchid Cellmark*, *Inc.*, et al., were filed in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware and subsequently consolidated into one action, In *re Orchid Cellmark Shareholder Litig*. The consolidated action challenged the Orchid acquisition on grounds of alleged breaches of fiduciary duty and/or other violations of state law. On May 4, 2011, the plaintiffs in the consolidated action filed a motion for preliminary injunction seeking to enjoin the transaction. On May 12, 2011, the Court of Chancery denied the motion for preliminary injunction, and plaintiffs' motion for an expedited appeal was subsequently denied on May 16, 2011. On October 2, 2012, the case was dismissed. Three similar putative class action lawsuits filed against Orchid in the Superior Court of New Jersey Chancery Division, Mercer County and another similar case filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey were also dismissed.

In October 2011, a putative stockholder of the Company made a letter demand through his counsel for inspection of documents related to policies and procedures concerning the Company's Board of Directors' oversight and monitoring of the Company's billing and claim submission process. The letter also seeks documents prepared for or by the Board regarding allegations from the *California ex rel. Hunter Laboratories, LLC et al. v. Quest Diagnostics Incorporated, et al.*, lawsuit and documents reviewed and relied upon by the Board in connection with the settlement of that lawsuit. The Company is responding to the request pursuant to Delaware law.

On November 18, 2011, the Company received a letter from United States Senators Baucus and Grassley requesting information regarding the Company's relationships with its largest managed care customers. The letter requests information about the Company's contracts and financial data regarding its managed care customers. Company representatives met with Senate Finance Committee staff after receiving the request and subsequently produced documents in response. The Company continues to cooperate with the request for information.

The Company was a defendant in two putative class actions related to overtime pay. In September 2011, a putative class action, *Peggy Bryant v. Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings*, was filed against the Company in the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, alleging on behalf of employees similarly situated that the Company violated the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act and applicable state wage laws by failing to pay overtime. The complaint sought monetary damages, liquidated damages equal to the alleged amount owed, costs, injunctive relief, and attorney's fees. The case was dismissed with prejudice in August 2012. In April 2012, a putative class action, *Beverly C. Plaza v. Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings*, was filed against the Company in the United States District Court for the Western District of Tennessee, alleging on behalf of employees similarly situated that the Company violated the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act and applicable state wage laws by failing to pay overtime. The complaint sought monetary damages, liquidated damages equal to the alleged amount owed, costs, injunctive relief, and attorney's fees. The case was dismissed without prejudice in August 2012.

On February 27, 2012, the Company was served with a False Claims Act lawsuit, *United States ex rel. Margaret Brown v. Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings and Tri-State Clinical Laboratory Services, LLC*, filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division. The lawsuit alleges that the defendants submitted false claims for payment for laboratory testing services performed as a result of financial relationships that violated the federal Stark and anti-kickback laws. The Company owned 50% of Tri-State Clinical Laboratory Services, LLC, which was dissolved in June of 2011. The lawsuit seeks actual and treble damages and civil penalties for each alleged false claim, as well as recovery of costs, attorney's fees, and legal expenses. The United States government has not intervened in the lawsuit. The Company will vigorously defend the lawsuit.

In June 2012, the Company and MEDTOX Scientific, Inc. ("MEDTOX") announced that they had entered into a definitive agreement and plan of merger under which the Company would acquire all the outstanding shares of MEDTOX in a cash tender offer. The review period under the Hart Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 ("HSR") applicable to the acquisition of MEDTOX expired on July 12, 2012, and the transaction closed on July 31, 2012

Three shareholder class actions, *Carol A. Kiel v. Braun, et al., Louise Perlman v. MEDTOX Scientific, et al.*, and *John Scieliano v. MEDTOX Scientific, Inc.*, et al., were filed in connection with the acquisition of MEDTOX in the County of Ramsey, Second Judicial District for the State of Minnesota. The lawsuits challenged the MEDTOX acquisition on grounds of alleged breaches of fiduciary duty and/or other violations of state law. The Company and its merger subsidiary were named only in the *Kiel* and *Perlman* cases. On July 20, 2012, the parties, through their counsel, executed a Memorandum of Understanding setting forth their agreement in principle to settle all three of the putative shareholder class actions. The Memorandum of Understanding was subsequently superseded by a Stipulation of Settlement dated October 12, 2012. Under the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement, all claims will be dismissed with prejudice. The proposed settlement is subject to court approval. The Company also anticipates that counsel for the plaintiffs will seek an award of attorney's fees and expenses in an amount to be determined by the court.

On June 7, 2012, the Company was served with a putative class action lawsuit, *Wonne Jansky v. Laboratory Corporation of America*, *et al.*, filed in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Francisco. The lawsuit alleges that the defendants committed unlawful and unfair business practices, and violated various other state laws by changing screening codes to diagnostic codes on laboratory test orders, thereby resulting in customers being responsible for co-payments and other debts. The lawsuit seeks injunctive relief, actual and punitive damages, as well as recovery of attorney's fees, and legal expenses. The Company will vigorously defend the lawsuit.

On June 7, 2012, the Company was served with a putative class action lawsuit, *Ann Baker Pepe v. Genzyme Corporation and Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings*, filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. The lawsuit alleges that the defendants failed to preserve DNA samples allegedly entrusted to the defendants and thereby breached a written agreement with plaintiff and violated state laws. The lawsuit seeks injunctive relief, actual, double and treble damages, as well as recovery of attorney's fees and legal expenses. The Company will vigorously defend the lawsuit.

On August 24, 2012, the Company was served with a putative class action lawsuit, *Sandusky Wellness Center, LLC, et al. v. MEDTOX Scientific, Inc., et al.*, filed in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. The lawsuit alleges that on or about February 21, 2012, the defendants violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act by sending unsolicited facsimiles to Plaintiff and more than 39 other recipients without the recipients' prior express invitation or permission. The lawsuit seeks actual damages or the sum of \$0.0005 for each violation, whichever is greater, and injunctive relief. The Company will vigorously defend the lawsuit.

Under the Company's present insurance programs, coverage is obtained for catastrophic exposure as well as those risks required to be insured by law or contract. The Company is responsible for the uninsured portion of losses related primarily to general, professional and vehicle liability, certain medical costs and workers' compensation. The self-insured retentions are on a per occurrence basis without any aggregate annual limit. Provisions for losses expected under these programs are recorded based upon the Company's estimates of the aggregated liability of claims incurred. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had provided letters of credit aggregating approximately \$37.4, primarily in connection with certain insurance programs. The Company's availability under its Revolving Credit Facility is reduced by the amount of these letters of credit.

11. PENSION AND POSTRETIREMENT PLANS

The Company's defined contribution retirement plan (the "401K Plan") covers substantially all employees. All employees eligible for the 401K Plan receive a minimum 3% non-elective contribution concurrent with each payroll period. The 401K Plan also permits discretionary contributions by the Company of 1% to 3% of pay for eligible employees based on years of service with the Company. The cost of this plan was \$12.0 and \$11.1 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$36.3 and \$33.5 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company also maintains a frozen defined benefit retirement plan (the "Company Plan"), that as of December 31, 2009, covered substantially all employees. The benefits to be paid under the Company Plan are based on years of credited service through December 31, 2009 and ongoing interest credits. Effective January 1, 2010, the Company Plan was closed to new participants. The Company's policy is to fund the Company Plan with at least the minimum amount required by applicable regulations.

The Company maintains a second unfunded, non-contributory, non-qualified defined benefit retirement plan (the "PEP"), that as of December 31, 2009, covered substantially all of its senior management group. The PEP supplements the Company Plan and was closed to new participants effective January 1, 2010.

The effect on operations for the Company Plan and the PEP is summarized as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	 2012 2011				2012	2011	
Service cost for benefits earned	\$ 0.6	\$	0.7	\$	1.8	\$	2.0
Interest cost on benefit obligation	3.8		4.2		11.2		12.8
Expected return on plan assets	(4.4)		(4.8)		(13.0)		(14.1)
Net amortization and deferral	 3.1		2.0		9.1		5.7
Defined benefit plan costs	\$ 3.1	\$	2.1	\$	9.1	\$	6.4

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company contributed \$11.3 to its defined benefit retirement plan.

The Company assumed obligations under a subsidiary's post-retirement medical plan. Coverage under this plan is restricted to a limited number of existing employees of the subsidiary. This plan is unfunded and the Company's policy is to fund benefits as claims are incurred. The effect on operations of the post-retirement medical plan is shown in the following table:

		Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2	2012 2011		2011 2012		2011		
Service cost for benefits earned	\$	0.1	\$	0.1	\$	0.3	\$	0.3
Interest cost on benefit obligation		0.6		0.5		1.8		1.6
Net amortization and deferral		0.1		_		0.1		_
Postretirement medical plan costs	\$	8.0	\$	0.6	\$	2.2	\$	1.9

12. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The Company's population of financial assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	Fair V as				Septen	Measurements aber 30, 2012 Value Hierar		
	September	30, 2012						Level 3
Noncontrolling interest put	\$	20.9	\$	_	\$	20.9	\$	_
	Fair V as	of		Us	Decen	Aeasurements aber 31, 2011 Value Hierar		
	December	31, 2011		Level 1	I	Level 2		Level 3
Noncontrolling interest put	\$	20.2	\$		\$	20.2	\$	_

The noncontrolling interest put is valued at its contractually determined value, which approximates fair value.

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, income taxes receivable, and accounts payable are considered to be representative of their respective fair values due to their short-term nature. The fair market value of the zero-coupon subordinated notes, based on market pricing, was approximately \$198.0 and \$190.2 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The fair market value of the senior notes, based on market pricing, was approximately \$2,683.1 and \$1,624.4 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the estimated fair market value of the Company's variable rate debt approximated its book value of \$0.0 and \$560.0, respectively. The Company's note and debt instruments are considered level 2 instruments, as the fair market values of these instruments are determined using other observable inputs.

13. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company addresses its exposure to market risks, principally the market risk associated with changes in interest rates, through a controlled program of risk management that includes, from time to time, the use of derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swap agreements (see Interest Rate Swap section below). Although the Company's zero-coupon subordinated notes contain features that are considered to be embedded derivative instruments (see Embedded Derivative section below), the Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. The Company does not believe that its exposure to market risk is material to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Interest Rate Swap

The interest rate swap agreement to hedge variable interest rate risk on the Company's variable interest rate term loan expired on March 31, 2011. On a quarterly basis under the swap, the Company paid a fixed rate of interest (2.92%) and received a variable rate of interest based on the three-month LIBOR rate on an amortizing notional amount of indebtedness equivalent to the term loan balance outstanding. The swap was designated as a cash flow hedge. Accordingly, the Company recognized the fair value of the swap in the condensed consolidated balance sheets and any changes in the fair value were recorded as adjustments to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement was the estimated amount that the Company would have paid or received to terminate the swap agreement at the reporting date.

Embedded Derivatives Related to the Zero-Coupon Subordinated Notes

The Company's zero-coupon subordinated notes contain the following two features that are considered to be embedded derivative instruments under authoritative guidance in connection with accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities:

- 1) The Company will pay contingent cash interest on the zero-coupon subordinated notes after September 11, 2006, if the average market price of the notes equals 120% or more of the sum of the issue price, accrued original issue discount and contingent additional principal, if any, for a specified measurement period.
- 2) Holders may surrender zero-coupon subordinated notes for conversion during any period in which the rating assigned to the zero-coupon subordinated notes by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services is BB- or lower.

The Company believes these embedded derivatives had no fair value at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. These embedded derivatives also had no impact on the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

The following table summarizes the effect of the interest rate swap on other comprehensive income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

	2	2012	 2011
Effective portion of derivative gain	\$	_	\$ 2.4

14. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	 2012	2011		
Supplemental schedule of cash flow information:				
Cash paid during period for:				
Interest	\$ 51.0 \$	49.8		
Income taxes, net of refunds	232.6	225.4		
Disclosure of non-cash financing and investing activities:				
Conversion of zero-coupon convertible debt	\$ — \$	36.2		
Accrued repurchases of common stock	_	9.0		

15. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

On July 31, 2012, the Company completed its acquisition of MEDTOX, a provider of high quality specialized laboratory testing services and onsite/point-of-collection testing (POCT) devices, for \$236.4 in cash, excluding transaction fees. The MEDTOX acquisition was made to extend the Company's specialty toxicology testing group and enhance the Company's scientific differentiation and esoteric testing capabilities.

The MEDTOX purchase consideration has been allocated to the estimated fair market value of the net assets acquired, including approximately \$78.0 in identifiable intangible assets (primarily non-tax deductible customer relationships, trade names and trademarks) with weighted-average useful lives of approximately 18.0 years; \$33.2 in deferred tax liabilities (relating to identifiable intangible assets); and a residual amount of non-tax deductible goodwill of approximately \$154.2.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company also acquired various other laboratories and related assets for approximately \$53.9 in cash (net of cash acquired). These acquisitions were made primarily to extend the Company's geographic reach in important market areas and/or enhance the Company's scientific differentiation and esoteric testing capabilities. The purchase price allocations for certain of these acquisitions are preliminary and subject to adjustment based on changes in the fair value of working capital and other assets and liabilities on the effective acquisition dates and final valuation of intangible assets.

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Company has made in this report, and from time to time may otherwise make in its public filings, press releases and discussions by Company management, forward-looking statements concerning the Company's operations, performance and financial condition, as well as its strategic objectives. Some of these forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking words such as "believes", "expects", "may", "will", "should", "seeks", "approximately", "intends", "plans", "estimates", or "anticipates" or the negative of those words or other comparable terminology. Such forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties and the Company claims the protection afforded by the safe harbor for forwardlooking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Actual results could differ materially from those currently anticipated due to a number of factors in addition to those discussed elsewhere herein and in the Company's other public filings, press releases and discussions with Company management, including:

- changes in federal, state, local and third party payer regulations or policies or other future reforms in the health care system (or in the interpretation 1. of current regulations), new insurance or payment systems, including state or regional insurance cooperatives, new public insurance programs or a single-payer system, affecting governmental and third-party coverage or reimbursement for clinical laboratory testing;
- adverse results from investigations or audits of clinical laboratories by the government, which may include significant monetary damages, refunds 2. and/or exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs;
- 3. loss or suspension of a license or imposition of a fine or penalties under, or future changes in, or interpretations of, the law or regulations of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act of 1967, and the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988, or those of Medicare, Medicaid, the False Claims Act or other federal, state or local agencies;
- failure to comply with the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration requirements and the Needlestick Safety and Prevention Act, 4. which may result in penalties and loss of licensure;
- failure to comply with HIPAA, including changes to federal and state privacy and security obligations and changes to HIPAA, including those changes included within HITECH and any subsequent amendments, which could result in increased costs, denial of claims and/or significant penalties;
- failure to maintain the security of business information or systems could damage the Company's reputation, cause it to incur substantial additional 6. costs and to become subject to litigation:
- failure of the Company, third party payers or physicians to comply with the ICD-10-CM Code Set by the compliance date of October 1, 2014, could 7. negatively impact the Company's reimbursement, cash collections, DSO and profitability;
- 8. increased competition, including competition from companies that do not comply with existing laws or regulations or otherwise disregard compliance standards in the industry;
- increased price competition, competitive bidding for laboratory tests and/or changes or reductions to fee schedules; 9.
- 10. changes in payer mix, including an increase in capitated reimbursement mechanisms or the impact of a shift to consumer-driven health plans;
- 11. failure to obtain and retain new customers and alliance partners, or a reduction in tests ordered or specimens submitted by existing customers;
- 12. failure to retain or attract managed care business as a result of changes in business models, including new risk based or network approaches, or other changes in strategy or business models by managed care companies;
- 13. failure to effectively integrate and/or manage newly acquired businesses and the cost related to such integrations;
- 14. adverse results in litigation matters;
- 15. inability to attract and retain experienced and qualified personnel;
- 16. failure to maintain the Company's days sales outstanding and/or bad debt expense levels;
- 17. decrease in the Company's credit ratings by Standard & Poor's and/or Moody's;
- 18. discontinuation or recalls of existing testing products;

INDEX

- 19. failure to develop or acquire licenses for new or improved technologies, or if customers use new technologies to perform their own tests;
- 20. inability to commercialize newly licensed tests or technologies or to obtain appropriate coverage or reimbursement for such tests, which could result in impairment in the value of certain capitalized licensing costs;
- 21. changes in government regulations or policies, including regulations and policies of the Food and Drug Administration, affecting the approval, availability of, and the selling and marketing of diagnostic tests;
- 22. inability to obtain and maintain adequate patent and other proprietary rights for protection of the Company's products and services and successfully enforce the Company's proprietary rights;
- 23. the scope, validity and enforceability of patents and other proprietary rights held by third parties which might have an impact on the Company's ability to develop, perform, or market the Company's tests or operate its business;
- 24. failure in the Company's information technology systems resulting in an increase in testing turnaround time or billing processes or the failure to meet future regulatory or customer information technology, data security and connectivity requirements;
- 25. failure of the Company's financial information systems resulting in failure to meet required financial reporting deadlines;
- 26. failure of the Company's disaster recovery plans to provide adequate protection against the interruption of business and/or to permit the recovery of business operations;
- 27. business interruption or other impact on the business due to adverse weather (including hurricanes), fires and/or other natural disasters, labor unrest, terrorism or other criminal acts, and/or widespread outbreak of influenza or other pandemic illness;
- 28. liabilities that result from the inability to comply with corporate governance requirements;
- 29. significant deterioration in the economy or financial markets which could negatively impact the Company's testing volumes, cash collections and the availability of credit for general liquidity or other financing needs;
- 30. changes in reimbursement by foreign governments and foreign currency fluctuations; and
- 31. expenses and risks associated with international operations, including but not limited to compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the U.K. Bribery Act, as well as laws and regulations that differ from those of the United States, and economic, political, legal and other operational risks associated with foreign markets.

GENERAL (dollars in millions, except per share data)

During the third quarter of 2012 the Company was able to grow its revenue in a challenging economic environment. Net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2012 increased 1.1% in comparison to the same period in 2011 on a 1.4% increase in volume and a 0.3% decrease in revenue per requisition. The Company's acquisition of Orchid in December 2011 increased revenue and volume by 1.1% and 0.3%, respectively, in the third quarter of 2012 compared to 2011. The Company's acquisition of MEDTOX on July 31, 2012 increased revenue and volume by 1.7% and 2.4%, respectively, in the third quarter of 2012 compared to 2011. The third quarter of 2012 had one less revenue day than the third quarter of 2011. On a per day basis, volume increased by 3.1% in the third quarter of 2012 compared to the third quarter of 2011.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (amounts in millions except Revenue Per Requisition info)

Three months ended September 30, 2012 compared with three months ended September 30, 2011

Net Sales

Genomic and Esoteric Testing

Ontario, Canada

Total

	Three Months En			
	 2012 2011		2011	% Change
Net sales				
Routine Testing	\$ 821.3	\$	806.2	1.9 %
Genomic and Esoteric Testing	516.4		522.5	(1.2)%
Ontario, Canada	81.7		75.8	7.8 %
Total	\$ 1,419.4	\$	1,404.5	1.1 %
	Thurs Mouths E	. ۲ ـ ۲ ـ ـ		
	 Three Months Ended September 30,			
	 2012		2011	% Change
Volume (Number of Requisitions)				
Routine Testing	21.8		21.5	1.4%
Genomic and Esoteric Testing	7.5		7.5	0.5%
Ontario, Canada	 2.4		2.3	4.6%
Total	31.7		31.3	1.4%
	Three Months En	ided Se	ptember 30,	
	 2012		2011	% Change
Revenue Per Requisition				
Routine Testing	\$ 37.68	\$	37.50	0.5 %

The increase in net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared with the corresponding 2011 period was driven primarily by the MEDTOX and Orchid acquisitions along with positive revenue per requisition growth in routine testing and Ontario, Canada. Volume growth for genomic and esoteric testing was primarily due to the incremental revenue and volume from MEDTOX and Orchid as well as growth in the recently launched NuSwabsm series of women's health tests. Genomic and esoteric testing volume as a percentage of total volume decreased from 23.9% in 2011 to 23.7% in 2012. The decline in price in genomic and esoteric testing is a result of a lower mix of reproductive and histology testing during the quarter. Net sales of the Ontario partnership were \$81.7 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$75.8 in the corresponding 2011 period, an increase of \$5.9, or 7.8%. Net sales of the Ontario partnership were negatively impacted by a stronger U.S. dollar in 2012 as compared with 2011. In Canadian dollars, net sales of the Ontario partnership increased by CN\$7.0, or 9.4%.

\$

68.63

34.11

44.75

69.78

33.12

44.90

(1.6)% 3.0 %

(0.3)%

Cost of Sales	Three Months Er	eptember 30,		
	 2012		2011	% Change
Cost of sales	\$ 863.3	\$	836.0	3.3%
Cost of sales as a % of sales	60.8%		59.5%	

Cost of sales (primarily laboratory and distribution costs) increased 3.3% in the 2012 period as compared with the 2011 period primarily due to incremental costs from acquisitions including MEDTOX and Orchid, as well as annual merit increases in labor. As a percentage of net sales, cost of sales increased to 60.8% in 2012 from 59.5% in 2011. The increase in cost of sales as a

INDEX

percentage of net sales is primarily due to lower margins on acquired operations that have not yet been fully integrated as well as the impact of slower volume growth.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

	 Three Months Ended September 30,					
	2012		2011	% Change		
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$ 285.1	\$	283.8	0.5%		
Selling, general and administrative expenses as a % of sales	20.1%		20.2%			

Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales decreased to 20.1% in the third quarter of 2012 as compared to 20.2% in 2011. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales is partially due to expense management and to efficiencies from acquired operations that are being integrated into the Company's operating cost structure. Additionally, bad debt expense decreased to 4.3% of net sales in the third quarter of 2012 as compared with 4.5% in 2011 primarily due to improved collection trends resulting from process improvement programs within the Company's billing department and field operations. These decreases in selling, general and administrative expenses were partially offset by \$9.0 in fees from the MEDTOX acquisition recorded during the third quarter of 2012.

Amortization of Intangibles and Other Assets

		Three Months E				
	_	2012		2011	% Change	
Amortization of intangibles and other assets	\$	21.1	\$	21.2	(4.2)%	

The decrease in amortization of intangibles and other assets primarily reflects certain intangible assets that became fully amortized during 2012, partially offset by an increase from business acquisitions that closed during the first nine months of 2012 and the fourth quarter of 2011.

Restructuring and Other Special Charges

	 Three Months Ended September 30,					
	 2012		2011	% Change		
tructuring and other special charges	\$ 4.8	\$	24.1	(80.1)%		

During the third quarter of 2012, the Company recorded net restructuring charges of \$4.8. These charges were comprised of \$5.2 in severance and other personnel costs and \$1.2 in facility-related costs primarily associated with the ongoing consolidation of recent acquisitions and other operations. These charges were partially offset by the reversal of previously established reserves of \$0.9 in unused severance and \$0.7 in unused facility related costs.

During the third quarter of 2011, the Company recorded net restructuring charges of \$14.9. These charges were comprised of \$7.8 in severance and other personnel costs, and \$7.5 in facility-related costs associated with the integration of certain acquisitions including Genzyme Genetics and Westcliff. These charges were partially offset by a restructuring credit of \$0.4 resulting from the reversal of unused facility closure liabilities. In addition, the Company recorded fixed assets impairment charges of \$9.2 related to equipment and leasehold improvements in closed facilities.

From time to time, the Company implements cost savings initiatives. These initiatives result from the integration of recently acquired businesses and from reducing the number of facilities and employees in an effort to balance the Company's cost of operations with current test volume trends while maintaining the high quality of its services that the marketplace demands. It is difficult to determine the nature, timing and extent of these activities until adequate planning has been completed and reviewed. The continuing economic downturn being experienced in the United States and globally has had an impact on the Company's volume. The Company believes that any restructuring costs which may be incurred in the fourth quarter of 2012 will be more than offset by subsequent savings realized from these potential actions and that any related restructuring charges will not have a material impact on the Company's operations or liquidity.

Interest Expense	Three Months Ended September 30,					
	2012		2011		% Change	
Interest expense	5	\$ 24.1	\$	20.3	18.7%	

The increase in interest expense for 2012 as compared with 2011 is primarily due to the issuance of \$1,000.0 of senior notes in August 2012. The net proceeds from the senior notes were used to repay outstanding amounts on the Company's Credit Facility. The newly issued senior notes have an effective weighted-average interest rate of 3.0%, compared to effective rates of less than 1.0% on the Company's combined term loan and credit facility outstanding during the third quarter of 2011.

Equity Method Income

	Three Months En	ded Se _l	ptember 30,		
	2012		2011	% Change	
\$	5.1	\$	2.5	104.0%	

Equity method income represents the Company's ownership share in joint venture partnerships along with equity investments in other companies in the healthcare industry. The increase in income in the third quarter of 2012 compared with the 2011 period is primarily due to the Company's share of losses incurred during the third quarter of 2011 in the Cincinnati, Ohio joint venture (liquidation initiated in the second half of 2011).

Income Tax Expense		ember 30,			
		2012		2011	% Change
Income tax expense	\$	78.5	\$	82.5	(4.8)%
Income tax expense as a % of income before tax		34.6%		37.5%	

The decrease in the effective tax rate for 2012 compared with 2011 is primarily the result of the reduction of uncertain tax position reserves related to the completion of a domestic intercompany transfer pricing study.

Nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with nine months ended September 30, 2011

Net Sales

		2012		2011	% Change	
sales						
outine Testing	\$	2,432.0	\$	2,372.7	2.5%	
enomic and Esoteric Testing		1,585.9		1,572.8	0.8%	
Intario, Canada		248.2		230.7	7.6%	
otal	\$	4,266.1	\$	4,176.2	2.2%	
	Ν	Vine Months End	led Sept	ember 30,		

Nine Months Ended September 30,

	2012	2011	% Change
Volume (Number of Requisitions)			
Routine Testing	64.6	64.3	0.5%
Genomic and Esoteric Testing	22.7	22.2	2.2%
Ontario, Canada	7.4	7.0	6.1%
Total	94.7	93.5	1.3%

	N					
		2012		2011	% Change	
Revenue Per Requisition						
Routine Testing	\$	37.62	\$	36.90	2.0 %	
Genomic and Esoteric Testing		69.91		70.83	(1.3)%	
Ontario, Canada		33.63		33.17	1.4 %	
Total	\$	45.04	\$	44.68	0.8 %	

The increase in net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared with the corresponding 2011 period was driven primarily by the MEDTOX and Orchid acquisitions and by contributions from the milder winter weather experienced in the first quarter of 2012, along with positive volume growth in genomic and esoteric testing and Ontario, Canada. Genomic and esoteric testing volume as a percentage of total volume increased from 23.7% in 2011 to 24.0% in 2012. Volume growth for genomic and esoteric testing was primarily due to the incremental volume from Orchid as well as growth in the NuSwabsm series of women's health tests. The decline in price in genomic and esoteric testing is a result of a lower mix of reproductive and histology testing. Net sales of the Ontario partnership were \$248.2 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$230.7 in the corresponding 2011 period, an increase of \$17.5, or 7.6%. Net sales of the Ontario partnership were negatively impacted by a stronger U.S. dollar in 2012 as compared with 2011. In Canadian dollars, net sales of the Ontario partnership increased by CN\$23.3, or 10.3%.

Cost of Sales	r	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2012	2011	% Change		
Cost of sales	\$	2,554.4	\$ 2,451.1	4.2%		
Cost of sales as a % of sales		59.9%	58.7%			

Cost of sales (primarily laboratory and distribution costs) increased 4.2% in the 2012 period as compared with the 2011 period primarily due to incremental costs from acquisitions including MEDTOX and Orchid, increases in labor, and the continued shift in test mix to genomic and esoteric testing. The increase in cost of sales as a percentage of net sales is primarily due to lower margins on acquired operations that have not yet been fully integrated as well as slower volume growth.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

	1					
		2012		2011	% Change	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$	835.8	\$	889.3	(6.0)%	
Selling, general and administrative expenses as a % of sales		19.6%		21.3%		

Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales decreased to 19.6% in the nine month period of 2012 compared to 21.3% in 2011. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales is partially due to expense management and to efficiencies from acquired operations that are being integrated into the Company's operating cost structure. Additionally, bad debt expense decreased to 4.4% of net sales in the first nine months of 2012 as compared with 4.6% in 2011 primarily due to improved collection trends resulting from process improvement programs within the Company's billing department and field operations. During the first nine months of 2011, the Company recorded the settlement of the Hunter Labs litigation in California for \$34.5 (\$49.5 settlement less previously recorded reserves of \$15.0) in selling, general and administrative expenses. These decreases in selling, general and administrative expenses were partially offset by \$9.9 in fees from the MEDTOX acquisition recorded during the first nine months of 2012.

Amortization of Intangibles and Other Assets

	_	Nine Mo	onths En	ded Se	eptember 30,	_
	_	2012			2011	% Change
Amortization of intangibles and other assets	3	5	63.1	\$	64.6	(2.3)%

INDEX

The decrease in amortization of intangibles and other assets primarily reflects certain intangible assets that became fully amortized during 2012, partially offset by an increase from business acquisitions that closed during the first nine months of 2012 and during the fourth quarter of 2011.

Restructuring and Other Special Charges

	 Nine Months End	ded Septembei	30,		
	 2012	201	1	% Change	
and other special charges	\$ 4.6	\$	70.3	(93.5)%	

During the first nine months of 2012, the Company recorded net restructuring charges of \$4.6. The charges were comprised of \$11.4 in severance and other personnel costs and \$2.5 in facility-related costs primarily associated with the consolidation of recent acquisitions and other operations along with costs associated with the previously announced termination of an executive vice president. These charges were offset by the reversal of previously established reserves of \$5.7 in unused severance and \$3.6 in unused facility-related costs.

During the first nine months of 2011, the Company recorded net restructuring and other special charges of \$39.1. These charges were comprised of \$21.1 in severance and other personnel costs, and \$21.3 in facility-related costs associated with the integration of certain acquisitions including Genzyme Genetics and Westcliff. These charges were partially offset by restructuring credits of \$3.3 resulting from the reversal of unused severance and facility closure liabilities. In addition, the Company recorded fixed assets impairment charges of \$16.4 primarily related to equipment and leasehold improvements in closed facilities. The Company also recorded a special charge of \$14.8 related to a write-off of certain assets and liabilities related to an investment made in a prior year.

Interest Expense	 Nine Months En		
	2012	2011	% Change
Interest expense	\$ 66.9	\$ 65.3	2.5%

The increase in interest expense for 2012 as compared with 2011 is primarily due to the issuance of \$1,000.0 of senior notes in August 2012. This increase was offset by the settlement of approximately \$155.1 of the zero-coupon subordinated notes during 2011. In addition, during December 2011, the Company replaced its Term Loan Facility with a new Revolving Credit Facility. The new Revolving Credit Facility had a lower effective interest rate during the nine month period of 2012 compared with the effective interest rate on the Term Loan Facility during the same period of 2011.

Equity Method Income

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			_		
	2012		2011	% Change		
\$	17.4	\$	6.6	163.6%		

Equity method income represents the Company's ownership share in joint venture partnerships along with equity investments in other companies in the healthcare industry. The increase in income in the first nine months of 2012 compared with the same 2011 period is primarily due to the Company's share of losses during the first nine months of 2011 in the Cincinnati, Ohio joint venture (liquidation initiated in the second half of 2011) and the Canada, China, Singapore and Western Europe equity method investments (acquired by the Company in the second half of 2011). In addition, in conjunction with the liquidation of one of its joint ventures, the Company recorded a \$2.9 increase in equity method income during the second quarter of 2012.

Income Tax Expense]	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2012		2011	% Change	
Income tax expense	\$	288.5	\$	246.2	17.2%	
Income tax expense as a % of income before tax		38.3%		38.4%		

The effective tax rate for 2012 is in-line with 2011.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES (dollars and shares in millions)

The Company's strong cash-generating capability and financial condition typically have provided ready access to capital markets. The Company's principal source of liquidity is operating cash flow, supplemented by proceeds from debt offerings. This cash-generating capability is one of the Company's fundamental strengths and provides substantial financial flexibility in meeting operating, investing and financing needs. The Company's senior unsecured Revolving Credit Facility is further discussed in "Note 7 to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."

On July 31, 2012, the Company completed its acquisition of MEDTOX for \$236.4 in cash, excluding transaction fees. The acquisition was financed through borrowings from the Company's Revolving Credit Facility and cash on hand.

On August 23, 2012, the Company issued \$1,000.0 in new senior notes pursuant to the Company's effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3. The new senior notes consisted of \$500.0 aggregate principal amount of 2.20% Senior Notes due 2017 and \$500.0 aggregate principal amount of 3.75% Senior Notes due 2022. The net proceeds were used to repay \$625.0 of the outstanding borrowings under the Company's Revolving Credit Facility. The remaining proceeds are available for other general corporate purposes.

The Company believes that it will generate sufficient cash from operations, in combination with available cash on hand and borrowing capacity to satisfy its \$350.0 Senior Note maturity in early 2013. In the event that the Company needs additional liquidity, it believes it can readily access the debt capital markets.

Operating Activities

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company's operations provided \$587.2 and \$577.0 of cash, respectively, reflecting the Company's solid business results. The Company continues to focus on efforts to increase cash collections from all payers and to generate on-going improvements to the claim submission processes.

Investing Activities

Capital expenditures were \$112.4 and \$115.6 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company expects capital expenditures of approximately \$145.0 in 2012. The Company will continue to make important investments in its business, including information technology. Such expenditures are expected to be funded by cash flow from operations, as well as borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility as needed.

Financing Activities

On December 21, 2011, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") providing for a five-year \$1,000.0 senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility") with Bank of America, N.A., acting as Administrative Agent, Barclays Capital as Syndication Agent, and a group of financial institutions as lending parties. The balances outstanding on the Company's Revolving Credit Facility at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$0.0 and \$560.0, respectively. The Revolving Credit Facility bears interest at varying rates based upon a base rate or LIBOR plus (in each case) a percentage based on the Company's debt rating with Standard & Poor's and Moody's Ratings Services.

The Revolving Credit Facility is available for general corporate purposes, including working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, funding of share repurchases and other restricted payments permitted under the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement also contains limitations on aggregate subsidiary indebtedness and a debt covenant that requires that the Company maintain on the last day of any period for four consecutive fiscal quarters, in each case taken as one accounting period, a ratio of total debt to consolidated EBITDA (Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization) of not more than 3.0 to 1.0. The Company was in compliance with all covenants in the Credit Agreement at September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2012, the ratio of total debt to consolidated EBITDA was 2.0 to 1.0.

INDEX

As of September 30, 2012, the effective interest rate on the Revolving Credit Facility was 1.19%.

The interest rate swap agreement to hedge variable interest rate risk on the Company's variable interest rate term loan expired on March 31, 2011. On a quarterly basis under the swap, the Company paid a fixed rate of interest (2.92%) and received a variable rate of interest based on the three-month LIBOR rate on an amortizing notional amount of indebtedness equivalent to the term loan balance outstanding. The swap was designated as a cash flow hedge. Accordingly, the Company recognized the fair value of the swap in the condensed consolidated balance sheets and any changes in the fair value were recorded as adjustments to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement was the estimated amount that the Company would have paid or received to terminate the swap agreement at the reporting date.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding authorization from the Board of Directors to purchase \$84.4 of Company common stock. On February 10, 2012, the Company announced the Board of Directors authorized the purchase of \$500.0 of additional shares of the Company's common stock. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company repurchased \$380.5 of stock representing 4.3 shares. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had outstanding authorization from the Board of Directors to purchase \$204.0 of Company common stock.

As of September 30, 2012, the Company provided letters of credit aggregating \$37.4, primarily in connection with certain insurance programs. Letters of credit provided by the Company are secured by the Company's senior credit facilities and are renewed annually, around mid-year.

The Company had a \$51.1 and \$63.5 reserve for unrecognized income tax benefits, including interest and penalties as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Substantially all of these tax reserves are classified in other long-term liabilities in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Zero-coupon Subordinated Notes

On September 12, 2012, the Company announced that for the period of September 12, 2012 to March 11, 2013, the zero-coupon subordinated notes will accrue contingent cash interest at a rate of no less than 0.125% of the average market price of a zero-coupon subordinated note for the five trading days ended September 7, 2012, in addition to the continued accrual of the original issue discount.

On October 1, 2012, the Company announced that its zero-coupon subordinated notes may be converted into cash and common stock at the conversion rate of 13.4108 per \$1,000 principal amount at maturity of the notes, subject to the terms of the zero-coupon subordinated notes and the Indenture, dated as of October 24, 2006 between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee and conversion agent. In order to exercise the option to convert all or a portion of the zero-coupon subordinated notes, holders are required to validly surrender their zero-coupon subordinated notes at any time during the calendar quarter beginning October 1, 2012, through the close of business on the last business day of the calendar quarter, which is 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on Monday, December 31, 2012. If notices of conversion are received, the Company plans to settle the cash portion of the conversion obligation with cash on hand and/or borrowings under the revolving credit facility.

Credit Ratings

The Company's debt ratings of Baa2 from Moody's and BBB+ from Standard and Poor's contribute to its ability to access capital markets.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company addresses its exposure to market risks, principally the market risk associated with changes in interest rates, through a controlled program of risk management that includes, from time to time, the use of derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swap agreements. Although, as set forth below, the Company's zero-coupon subordinated notes contain features that are considered to be embedded derivative instruments, the Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. The Company does not believe that its exposure to market risk is material to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

The Company's zero-coupon subordinated notes contain the following two features that are considered to be embedded derivative instruments under authoritative guidance in connection with accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities:

INDEX

- 1) The Company will pay contingent cash interest on the zero-coupon subordinated notes after September 11, 2006, if the average market price of the notes equals 120% or more of the sum of the issue price, accrued original issue discount and contingent additional principal, if any, for a specified measurement period.
- 2) Holders may surrender zero-coupon subordinated notes for conversion during any period in which the rating assigned to the zero-coupon subordinated notes by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services is BB- or lower.

Borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility are subject to variable interest rates, unless fixed through interest rate swaps or other agreements.

The Company's Ontario, Canada consolidated joint venture operates in Canada and, accordingly, the earnings and cash flows generated from the Ontario operations are subject to foreign currency exchange risk.

The Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Clearstone Central Laboratories, has operations in China, Hong Kong and Singapore, and, accordingly the earnings and cash flows generated from these operations are subject to foreign currency risk.

The Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Orchid, has operations in the United Kingdom and, accordingly the earnings and cash flows generated from Orchid's United Kingdom operation are subject to foreign currency risk.

The Alberta, Canada joint venture partnership operates in Canada and remits the Company's share of partnership income in Canadian dollars. Accordingly, the cash flow received from this affiliate is subject to foreign currency exchange risk.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by the Form 10-Q, the Company carried out, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules13-a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based on the foregoing, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2012.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

See Note 10 to the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, which is incorporated by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors that appear in Part I - Item 1A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds (Shares and dollars in millions, except per share data)

The following table sets forth information with respect to purchases of shares of the Company's common stock made during the three months ended September 30, 2012, by or on behalf of the Company:

	Total Number of Shares Repurchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Repurchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program	Maximum Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Repurchased Under the Program	
July 1 – July 31	0.5	\$ 88.98	0.5	\$ 287.	7
August 1 – August 31	0.5	88.25	0.5	241.8	8
September 1 – September 30	0.4	90.32	0.4	204.0	0
	1.4	\$ 89.10	1.4		

The Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of specified amounts of the Company's common stock since 2007, including the Board of Director's authorization on February 10, 2011 to purchase up to \$500.0 of additional shares of the Company's common stock. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding authorization from the Board of Directors to purchase up to \$84.4 of Company common stock. On February 10, 2012, the Company announced the Board of Directors authorized the purchase of up to \$500.0 of additional shares of the Company's common stock. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had outstanding authorization from the Board of Directors to purchase \$204.0 of Company common stock. The repurchase authorization has no expiration date.

Item 6. Exhibits

filed herewith

(a)	Exhibits
4.1	Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 23, 2012, between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, including the form of the 2017 Notes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on August 23, 2012 (SEC File No. 001-11353))
4.2	Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 23, 2012, between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, including the form of the 2022 Notes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on August 23, 2012 (SEC File No. 001-11353))
12.1*	Ratio of earnings to fixed charges
31.1*	Certification by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)
31.2*	Certification by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)
32*	Written Statement of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350)
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS

Registrant

By: /s/ DAVID P. KING

David P. King

Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ WILLIAM B. HAYES

William B. Hayes

Executive Vice President,

Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

October 30, 2012

STATEMENT OF COMPUTATION OF RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES (dollars in millions, except ratio information)

	Fiscal Years Ended December 31,						
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	September 30, 2012	
Income from continuing operations							
before income taxes	802.3	785.7	884.6	915.6	866.1	752.7	
Fixed Charges:							
Interest on long-term and							
short-term debt including							
amortization of debt expense	56.6	72.0	62.9	70.0	87.5	66.9	
Portion of rental expense as can be							
demonstrated to be representative							
of the interest factor	53.0	58.4	61.0	67.4	73.4	56.7	
Total fixed charges	109.6	130.4	123.9	137.4	160.9	123.6	
Earnings before income taxes and							
fixed charges	911.9	916.1	1,008.5	1,053.0	1,027.0	876.3	
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	8.32	7.03	8.14	7.66	6.38	7.09	

Exhibit 31.1

Certification

- I, David P. King, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 30, 2012

By: <u>/s/ DAVID P. KING</u>

David P. King

Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Exhibit 31.2

Certification

- I, William B. Hayes, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 30, 2012

By: /s/ WILLIAM B. HAYES
William B. Hayes
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Exhibit 32

Written Statement of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350)

The undersigned, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings (the "Company"), each hereby certifies that, to his knowledge on the date hereof:

(a) the Form 10-Q of the Company for the Period Ended September 30, 2012 filed on the date hereof with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(b) information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

By: <u>/s/ DAVID P. KING</u>

David P. King

Chief Executive Officer October 30, 2012

By: /s/ WILLIAM B. HAYES

William B. Hayes Chief Financial Officer October 30, 2012